

**IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN  
TERRITORIAL COOPERATION GOAL  
PART A**

**IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT**

CCI	2014TC16M6TN001
Title	Danube
Version	2017.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	29-May-2018

<b>IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL/FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(A) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....</b>	<b>4</b>
KEY INFORMATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR CONCERNED, INCLUDING ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, WITH RELATION TO THE FINANCIAL AND INDICATOR DATA. ....	4
<b>3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS .....</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION .....	7
3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	10
PRIORITY AXES OTHER THAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE .....	10
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 1.1B .....	10
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1B.1.1 .....	12
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1B.1.2 .....	13
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6B .....	14
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6B.2.1 .....	15
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6C .....	16
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6C.2.2 .....	17
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6D .....	18
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6D.2.3 .....	20
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6D.2.4 .....	21
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.7C .....	22
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.7C.3.1 .....	23
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.7E .....	24
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.7E.3.2 .....	25
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 4.11A .....	26
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 4.11A.4.1 .....	28
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 4.11C .....	29
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 4.11C.4.2 .....	30
PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE .....	31
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 5. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE .....	31
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 5.5.1 .....	32
3.3 TABLE 3: INFORMATION ON THE MILESTONES AND TARGETS DEFINED IN THE PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK .....	33
3.4. FINANCIAL DATA .....	35
TABLE 4: FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL .....	35
WHERE APPLICABLE, THE USE OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM THIRD COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME SHOULD BE PROVIDED (FOR EXAMPLE IPA AND ENI, NORWAY, SWITZERLAND) .....	36
TABLE 5: BREAKDOWN OF THE CUMULATIVE FINANCIAL DATA BY CATEGORY OF INTERVENTION .....	37
TABLE 6: CUMULATIVE COST OF ALL OR PART OF AN OPERATION IMPLEMENTED OUTSIDE THE UNION PART OF THE PROGRAMME AREA .....	39
(1) ERDF SUPPORT IS THE COMMISSION DECISION ON THE RESPECTIVE COOPERATION PROGRAMME. ....	39
<b>4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN.....</b>	<b>41</b>
(A) ISSUES WHICH AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND THE MEASURES TAKEN .....	41
(B) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, OTHERWISE IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN POINT 9.1. AN ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER PROGRESS MADE TOWARDS TARGETS IS SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THEIR FULFILMENT, INDICATING ANY REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED, WHERE APPROPRIATE. ....	42
<b>6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....</b>	<b>45</b>
8.1. MAJOR PROJECTS .....	45
TABLE 7: MAJOR PROJECTS .....	45

SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM .....	45
ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME .....	45
8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS .....	46
TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP) .....	47
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM .....	48
<b>9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....</b>	<b>49</b>
9.1 INFORMATION IN PART A AND ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	49
9.2. SPECIFIC ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROMOTE EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN AND TO PROMOTE NON-DISCRIMINATION, IN PARTICULAR ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, AND THE ARRANGEMENTS IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE INTEGRATION OF THE GENDER PERSPECTIVE IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME AND OPERATIONS (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (D) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	50
9.3. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (E) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	51
9.4. REPORTING ON SUPPORT USED FOR CLIMATE CHANGE OBJECTIVES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	52
9.5 ROLE OF PARTNERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1, (C) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	53
<b>10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013.....</b>	<b>54</b>
10.1 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION PLAN AND THE FOLLOW-UP GIVEN TO THE FINDINGS OF EVALUATIONS .....	54
10.2 THE RESULTS OF THE INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MEASURES OF THE FUNDS CARRIED OUT UNDER THE COMMUNICATION STRATEGY .....	55
<b>11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....</b>	<b>56</b>
11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING INTEGRATED TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME .....	56
11.2 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF AUTHORITIES AND BENEFICIARIES TO ADMINISTER AND TO USE THE ERDF .....	57
11.3 CONTRIBUTION TO MACRO-REGIONAL AND SEA BASIN STRATEGIES (WHERE APPROPRIATE) .....	58
11.4 PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL INNOVATION .....	62
<b>13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH .....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....</b>	<b>64</b>
DOCUMENTS .....	65
LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS .....	66

## **2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(A) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

### **Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.**

The year 2017 has been crucial for the implementation of the Danube Transnational Programme. The core objectives of the 2017 programme implementation have been successfully achieved. The DTP is performing effectively without any current delay in the programme implementation. The programme successfully caught up the original one and half year delay caused by the late start of the programming phase due to the extended discussion on the geography: successful implementation of the contracted (1st call and PAC) projects: 1st call projects reported in the first PPR the double of the estimated amount (9,089,539.36 EUR of ERDF and 574,111.10 EUR of IPA); projects are running smoothly; designation: after the submission in July of all needed documents, the Audit Authority (AA) officially designated the programme level authorities and each national control systems on the 14th December 2017; the management and control system has been set up, all important elements of the programme management system are fairly regulated; the monitoring system (e-MS) has been set up by mid-2017 and has been fully functioning supporting project monitoring from the beginning; the national control system of the partner states (besides Moldova and Ukraine) have been considered compliant by the AA; all three IPA Financial Agreements have been signed and the implementation of the IPA project parts is running smoothly. The control system of all IPA countries has been designated and is operational; ENI full integration became a real option after the modification of the CP and the signature of the Financial Agreement with Ukraine on the 15th December 2017.

The indicators that state the success of the 2017 tasks are: first payments to the contracted projects: by end of December 2017, 3.33 million EUR of ERDF and 240 thousand EUR of IPA will be reimbursed by the CA to 20 projects; first Application for Payment: on the 15th December 2017, the CA submitted its first AfP per fund for requesting 4.15 million EUR of ERDF and 263 thousand EUR of IPA from the EC, aimed at receiving the payments out of the 2017 EU budget; implementation of the second call: the second call has been launched in May (overall 128 valid proposals have been submitted, out of which 119 were eligible and were under quality assessment at the end of 2017).

The Cooperation Programme (CP) has been revised according to the decisions of the Monitoring Committee and the suggestions from the European Commission, and finalised with the adoption on the 12th June 2017. The revision of the CP allowed the inclusion of the ENI funds for Ukraine and Moldova in the 2nd Call for Proposals.

The participating Member States and IPA countries have submitted their first Verification Report at Partner State level to the MA/JS on the proper functioning of the national control system, the payment of state contributions, if any, and eventual irregularities and recoveries. Based on these reports, in December the MA/JS has prepared the first Verification Report at programme level for the purposes of drawing up and submitting the first payment applications to the European Commission by the

Certifying Authority at the end of the year.

The tender for the operational evaluation was launched in July 2017 with a deadline for submission of the tenders set for the end of August 2017. The contract has been signed in November 2017. The evaluation aims to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of the programme management and implementation in terms of: project generation, assessment and selection; project implementation, procedures and programme monitoring; programme promotion and communication; programme governance (in terms of perception of beneficiaries, coordination and communication aspects among and with relevant institutions, including EUSDR), progress in relation to performance framework. Special attention will be dedicated to: programme electronic monitoring system; external funding (integration of IPA and ENI funds at programme level) and cooperation with EUSDR (in terms of coordination and governance, procedures, synergies, etc.). The draft Inception Report has been prepared at the end of December 2017.

After the adoption of the TA Agreement template in June by the MC, the MA/JS requested the partner countries to submit the documents for contracting. Based on the received documents, the MA/JS prepared the TA Agreement and in September included all TA project data approved by MC into the DTP eMS. The modification of TA budget as well as the revision of TA Manual was approved by the MC during the MC meeting at the end of November, taking into account the additional resources and tasks related to IPA and ENI. After the decision the IPA partner states can start to work on their TA Project Plans to be submitted to the MA/JS. In December, the first NCP TA Progress Reports have been approved by the MA/JS for reimbursement.

The subsidy contracts have been signed with nine, out of twelve PAC (EUSDR Priority Area Co-ordinator) projects. The implementation of PAC projects so far was smooth: no major changes were requested, neither content-wise or in relation to the partnership. The option to submit an Interim Report was used by two projects (PAC1b and PAC10); against this limited response, the DTP framework offering “up to 4 interim reports” per year appears clearly over-dimensioned.

The EUSDR Seed Money Facility (SMF) Application Package has been finalised during the summer 2017, together with the parameterisation of the SMF application in the eMS. As it was the first time for the DTP to use the eMS in an open call, considerable efforts were made to prepare the eMS for the SMF application. Before the official opening of the SMF call, which happened on the 2nd October, a launch event took place on the 27th September in Vienna. The launch event was hosted in the premises of the EU representation in Austria and included the active presence of the EC, the EUSDR PACs and representatives of the most relevant funding instruments present in the Danube region. Over 100 participants attended the launch event and provided excellent feedbacks on the fruitfulness of it. By the deadline of 7th December at 15:00, 65 proposals have been submitted through eMS. The eligibility check has started and is expected to be finalised by the end of February 2018.

The DSP (EUSDR Danube Strategy Point) call for proposals started to be prepared by the MA/ JS. The call is expected to be launched in 2018.

The 6th Annual Forum of the EUSDR has been organised by the EUSDR Presidency, the European Commission and the DTP and took place on the 18-19 October in Budapest. The event had an outstanding number of participants (over 1,000 people attended at least for one day) and provided great visibility to the DTP, in an environment usually not addressed by the programme.

### 3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

#### 3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
1	Innovative and socially responsible Danube region	<p>In 2017 the DTP Programme launched the second call for proposals and 54 out of the 127 projects were addressing Priority Axis 1. Out of the 54 "innovation" projects, 15 addressed the restricted topics of SO 1.1 and 39 addressed SO 1.2 which was fully open. The quality assessment was on going at the end of 2017, the selection being planned for 2018. The projects selected during the 1st call for proposals started their implementation in 2017 and submitted their 1st progress report and some already submitted finalised outputs. For example the project DanBioValNet already submitted 10 country reports for Baden-Württemberg, Austria, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Slovenia, Croatia, Serbia, Romania, Bulgaria and Montenegro and a synthesis report about “The bio-based Status in the Danube Region” was made. Each of the 10 country reports and the aggregated report of the whole Danube region had the objective to provide an important initial overview of the state of the bioeconomy for their affiliated regions in the multi-country Danube macro region.</p> <p>Project Made in Danube already finalised the Danube Transnational Innovation Cooperation e-tool which covers the entire geographical are of the Danube Region and has been designed to foster collaboration in the region and impact the speed and effectiveness of cooperation in a significant way. In the case of PA1, one of the main problems which should be the starting point of a reflexive exercise, is the looseness of S.O.1.2 in terms of thematic coverage. One possible result of the future analysis could be the opportunity to add a clear focus for this specific objective in the view of the future DTP calls.</p>
2	Environment and culture responsible Danube region	<p>In 2017 the DTP Programme launched the second call for proposals and 57 out of the 127 projects were addressing Priority Axis 2. Out of the 57 projects, 8 addressed the restricted topics of SO 2.1 and 36 addressed SO 2.2, 7 addressed SO 2.3 and 6 addressed SO 2.4 which were fully open. The quality assessment was on going at the end of 2017, the selection being planned for 2018. The projects selected during the 1st call for proposals started their implementation in 2017 and submitted their 1st progress report and some already submitted finalised outputs. For example the project CAMARO-D organised the 1st round of national stakeholder workshops in (9) PP countries assessing the current shortcomings in actual land use management practices together with defining "hot spots" in each PP country, project Iron Age Danube organised the first International Camp on Iron Age landscapes in Austria which established a new international format, with a balance between research and promotion activities, project INSIGHTS</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		organised 4 Transnational workshops - transnational exchange events comprising differentiated learning activities: study visits, Master Classes and Critical Classrooms aiming at joint elaboration of novel solutions as building blocks of the comprehensive Smart model elaboration for integrated slow, green and healthy tourism development. In terms of environmental risk management the Danube Region will benefit from the web-based Drought User Service (DUS), an interactive tool, for which the test version has already elaborated by the DriDanube project, for better drought characterization and early warning over the entire region.
3	Better connected and energy responsible Danube region	In 2017 the DTP Programme launched the second call for proposals and 17 out of the 127 projects were addressing Priority Axis 3. Out of the 17 projects, 7 addressed the restricted topics of SO 3.1 and 10 addressed SO 3.2 which was fully open. The quality assessment was on going at the end of 2017, the selection being planned for 2018. The projects selected during the 1st call for proposals started their implementation in 2017 and submitted their 1st progress report and some already submitted finalised outputs. For example the project GREEN DANUBE developed a Concept of Inland Waterway Transport Environmental Information Centre. The establishment of IWT EICs in the Danube countries represents an innovative action aimed at improving the accessibility to targeted information services. EICs will be the advocates of environmental protection and will raise public awareness on the importance of limiting the impact of IWT on the Danube eco-system.
4	Well governed Danube region	In 2017 SO 4.1 was closed for the 2nd call for proposals. The projects selected during the 1st call for proposals started their implementation in 2017 and submitted their 1st progress report and some already submitted finalised outputs. For example the project ATTRACTIVE DANUBE finalised the Participatory planning process to establish TAMP (territorial attractiveness monitoring platform). Stakeholder participatory planning process ensured involvement of relevant stakeholders in the development and promoting of TAMP. The project YOUMIG implemented trainings for local governments on youth migration indicators and their adaptation for strategy planning & implementation on local level. The trainings aimed to support LGs to better understand the evidence base of youth migration on local level, and to better equip to identify and measure the change of human/social/financial capital resulting from youth migration leading to better strategy planning. The project Learning by Doing already developed 9 Local partnerships to support VET reform. By the end of 2017, 9 out of 12 PAC projects were contracted. Delays in contracting occurred in case of PA1b and PA11 (re-submission of the originally handed in proposal), PA09 (inclusion of ENI provisions into the DTP CP) and PA08 (re-launch of the PAC proposal). Also the programme launched the first seed money call which was closed on 7th of December 2017 receiving 65 proposals. The selection is planned for 2018.



ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
5	Technical Assistance	<p>In 2017 the MA/JS in order to ensure the efficient implementation of the programme organised several meetings (for the monitoring committee, national contact point, working group of controllers, recruitment committee, International Cooperation Programmes), 5 trainings to the lead applicants and lead partners and the Seed Money Facility (SMF) launch event. The main activities performed in 2017: contracting with the LPs, PACs and TA beneficiaries, contracting external experts for the quality assessment of applications received under the 2nd call, signing the License Agreement for the use of eMS, co-organising the 6th Annual Forum of the EU Strategy for the Danube Region, preparation and submission of all needed documents to the Audit Authority for the designation process, checking the first reports submitted by the LP.</p> <p>After the contracting procedure the NCP TA beneficiaries started to prepare their first partner reports in the DTP. The first NCP TA progress report was submitted in November 2017.</p> <p>In general, the TA projects are on the right track and no significant problem can be identified.</p>

## 3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

### Priority axes other than technical assistance

Priority axis	1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 1.1b**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	450.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 17 projects under Priority 1.
S	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	450.00	865.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved four projects aiming at reaching 865 enterprises that will receive non-financial support (e.g. DanubeBioValNet project offers support for SMEs in understanding the bio-based industry, future trends and challenges; FORESDA project offers support to SMEs in innovation management aiming at developing a joint innovation roadmap with the potential for the emergence of new products/services/business models).
F	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	450.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 17 projects under Priority 1.
S	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	450.00	511.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved six projects that are aiming foster the cooperation with research institutions of 465 enterprises (e.g. MOVECO project support SMEs in engaging in new green partnerships with public or private research and innovation partners, contributing to making their business activity more resource-efficient; Made in Danube project will support companies in cooperating with support organisations by developing specific tools and actions; Once project from SO 2.2, DANUrB, brings its cross-cutting contribution to CO26 by enhancing cooperation among 46 enterprises/research institutions).
F	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 12 projects addressing Specific Objective 1.1.
S	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	33.00	Out of the 12 projects approved under SO 1.1, 11 projects are developing strategies aiming at improving the framework conditions for innovation in different sectors (e.g. Ecoinn Danube project is developing a strategy aiming at common strategy for Danube region aiming at renewable energy usage and energy saving; D-STIR project is developing a transnational strategy for applying Responsible Research Innovation in the Danube region; DanuBioValNet is developing a joint bio-based industry cluster policy strategy).
F	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	28.00	1.00	The project Made in Danube already finalised Danube Transnational Innovation Cooperation e-tool The development has been oriented to making the DTIC adequate for the use of actors in the field of bio-economy. The DTIC e-tool covers the entire geographical area of the Danube Region. Being an online instrument, it can be used by any stakeholder in this zone. There are no physical limitations and the instrument has been designed to foster collaboration in the region and impact the speed and effectiveness of cooperation in a significant way. Direct technology transfer can take place more easily between knowledge providers and generators and knowledge users, for the benefit of markets and consumers.
S	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	28.00	100.00	Out of the 12 projects approved under SO 1.1, 11 projects are developing tools aiming at improving the framework conditions for innovation in different sectors (e.g. MOVECO project is developing circular economy tools aiming at improving the capacities of R&D, Business Support Organisations, public bodies to kick-start the implementation of Circular Economy in the Danube region; ACCELERATOR project is developing a joint guide on how to develop and test acceleration programmes).
F	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 12 projects addressing Specific Objective 1.1.
S	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	111.00	All projects selected under SO 1.1 are implementing pilot actions aiming at testing different concepts, services, guidelines developed by the partnership (e.g. ResInfra@DR projects is implementing peer reviews and ex-ante assessment in establishing new research infrastructures; CrowdStream project is implementing pilot actions on campaigning models for crowd funding).
F	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and/or implemented.	Number	13.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 5 projects addressing Specific Objective 1.2.
S	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and/or implemented.	Number	13.00	9.00	Out of the 5 projects approved under SO 1.2, 3 projects are developing strategies aiming at increasing the competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening the entrepreneurial spirit (e.g. SENSES project is developing a Social Enterprise Strategy for the Danube Region focusing on policy niches as social impact markets, financial instruments addressed to social enterprises, social enterprise acceleration techniques, public procurements favouring social enterprises etc.; DA-SPACE project is developing a strategy to boost innovation and entrepreneurship).
F	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of	Number	26.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 5 projects addressing Specific Objective 1.2.

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
		employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented				
S	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	Number	26.00	102.00	All projects approved under SO 1.2, are developing tools aimed at increasing the competencies of employees in the business sector and strengthening the entrepreneurial spirit (e.g. DA-SPACE is developing a Mentoring Scheme which will contribute in increasing the competences of employees from the business sectors).
F	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	Number	7.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 5 projects addressing Specific Objective 1.2.
S	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	Number	7.00	12.00	Out of the 5 projects approved under SO 1.2, 3 projects are developing pilot actions aiming at increasing the competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening the entrepreneurial spirit (e.g. project SENSES is developing social enterprise capitalization pilot which aims to establish new quality of intensified cooperation between social enterprises and their ecosystems across the Danube region; project DA-SPACE is developing an entrepreneurship training piloting an open innovation lab in which SMEs, PA and the civil society, can create fundaments for innovation together with young talents).
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	81.00	12.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 17 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator. Project DanBioValNet developed 10 country reports regarding "The bio-based Status in the Danube Region". The reports prepared offer concrete observations on the current regional situation regarding the strengths and weaknesses associated with bio-based products, suppliers and markets as well as innovation opportunities and R&D competences. The project Moveco delivered one Training on EPR & innovation challenges in the CIE aiming at helping the partners with less background in environmental legislation in this area to become acquainted with the terminology and the content of the topic which the project deals with.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	81.00	535.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 17 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator (e.g. INNO HPC project is implementing a training for HPC providers and competence centres in the form of winter schools as well as workshops for SMEs from electronic and automotive industry).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	865.00	0.00	0.00
F	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	511.00	0.00	0.00
F	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	33.00	0.00	0.00
F	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	100.00	0.00	0.00
F	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	111.00	0.00	0.00
F	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented.	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented.	9.00	0.00	0.00
F	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	102.00	0.00	0.00
F	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	12.00	0.00	0.00
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	535.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies
Specific objective	1.1 - Improve framework conditions for innovation (short title). Improve the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions and policy instruments for research & innovation to ensure a broader access to knowledge for the development of new technologies and the social dimension of innovation

**Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1b.1.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
1.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve framework for research and innovation (composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.68	2014	Increasing intensity (qualitative target)			Updated data will be provided by a survey in 2018

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve framework for research and innovation (composite indicator)				-		3.68

Priority axis	1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies
Specific objective	1.2 - Increase competences for business and social innovation (short title). Foster innovative learning systems to increase competences of employees in the business sector, to strengthen entrepreneurial culture and learning contributing to better meet social needs and the delivery of services in the general interest.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1b.1.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
1.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to increase competences for business and social innovation (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.22	2014	Increasing intensity (qualitative target)			Updated data will be provided by a survey in 2018

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to increase competences for business and social innovation (survey based composite indicator)						3.22

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6b - Investing in the water sector to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental acquis and to address needs, identified by the Member States, for investment that goes beyond those requirements

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6b**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	15.00	1.00	The project CAMARO-D already organised the first round of national stakeholder workshops in 9 countries assessing the current shortcomings in actual land use management practices together with defining "hot spots" in each PP country.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	15.00	12.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 3 projects approved are implementing learning interactions contributing to the output indicator (e.g. JOINTISZA project is implementing a Training of best management on urban hydrology).
F	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	5.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 3 projects addressing Specific Objective 2.1.
S	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	5.00	2.00	Out of the 3 approved projects, 2 are developing strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention (e.g. e.g. JOINTISZA project is developing a Public Involvement and Participation Strategy aimed at strengthening approaches and cooperation among the relevant actors of the river basin management planning process).
F	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	10.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 3 projects addressing Specific Objective 2.1.
S	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	10.00	21.00	All 3 approved projects are developing tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention (e.g. JOINTISZA project is developing an integrated Tisza river management plan and is improving the GIS database; CAMARO-D project is developing Land Use management Plan aimed at steering land use to safeguard water resources and reduce flood risk).
F	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	3.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 3 projects addressing Specific Objective 2.1.
S	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	3.00	5.00	Out of the 3 approved projects, 2 are implementing pilots aiming at improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention (e.g. JOINTISZA project will develop and test in two pilot areas a process oriented spatial decision support tool for urban water management together with a training on managing urban hydrology).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	12.00	0.00	0.00
F	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	2.00	0.00	0.00
F	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	21.00	0.00	0.00
F	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	5.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6b - Investing in the water sector to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental acquis and to address needs, identified by the Member States, for investment that goes beyond those requirements
Specific objective	2.1 - Strengthen transnational water management and flood risk prevention (short title). Strengthen joint and integrated approaches to further develop and implement River Basin Management Plans in the Partner States in line with the overall Danube River Basin Management Plan in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention contributing to the sustainable provision of ecosystem services.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6b.2.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
2.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.76	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)			Updated data will be provided by a survey in 2018

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention (survey based composite indicator)				-		3.76

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6c**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	42.00	7.00	Three projects already implemented and finalised the first learning interactions: CultPlatForm_21 implemented the 1st Dialogue and exchange & lessons learnt - "Discovering Hidden Heritage" - a policy learning platform designed as a think tank and place of exchange and the 1st Meeting WG Culture for cultural routes aiming at inclusion of identified hidden heritage locations in the already existing cultural routes or creation of the new routes along the identified themes. Iron Age Danube organized the 1st International camp on Iron Age landscapes (Archaeology camp Austria 2017) and project INSIGHTS implemented four transnational workshops aiming at joint elaboration of novel solutions for integrated slow, green and healthy tourism development.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	42.00	120.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all nine projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator (e.g. CultPlatForm_21 project is exchanging experience and lessons learnt within the WG Culture dealing with thematic issues concerning existing and potential cultural routes, enlargement strategy and coordination of the mapping process (the Danube cultural routes hot spots and their tourism potential); Iron-Age-Danube project is organising International camps on Iron Age landscapes aiming at strengthening the international network, bringing monumentalized landscapes into public focus and act as a learning interaction for general public).
F	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	1.00	CultPlatForm_21 developed the 1st Agreement Danube Creative Spaces. The policy learning platform as such has experimental character. For the first time, cultural policy workshops bring together experts from 14 EUSDR countries such as EUSDR PA 3 "Culture & Tourism", national, regional and local policy makers, administration, scientists and practitioners to discuss cutting-edge thinking and innovative practice.
S	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	33.00	Out of the 9 approved projects, 8 are developing strategies aiming at preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources (e.g. ART NOUVEAU project is developing a joint strategy for the preservation of art nouveau cultural heritage; CultPlatForm_21 project is developing 5 action plans for innovative Danube cultural routes in the direction of support the creating of new / amending existing cultural routes, also showing synergies with existing programmes such as the cultural routes programme of the Council of Europe).
F	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	28.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved nine projects addressing SO 2.2.
S	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	28.00	102.00	All 9 projects approved are developing tools aiming at preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources (e.g. DANUrB project is developing DANUrB tours accessible in PocketGuide App and branding of the "Danube Cultural Promenade"; LENA project is developing certification and/or labelling procedures fitted to the protected areas).
F	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved nine projects addressing SO 2.2.
S	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	39.00	Out of the 9 approved projects, 6 are implementing pilots aiming at preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources (e.g. LENA project is implementing a pilot on sustainable agriculture in 4 protected areas; DanubeGeoTour project is implementing innovative geoInterpretation methods in the form of interpretation points or centres in participating Geoparks).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	120.00	0.00	0.00
F	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	33.00	0.00	0.00
F	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	102.00	0.00	0.00
F	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	39.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]



Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage
Specific objective	2.2 - Foster sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (short title). Strengthen joint and integrated approaches to preserve and manage the diversity of natural and cultural heritage and resources in the Danube region as a basis for sustainable development and growth strategies.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6c.2.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
2.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	Established through a survey among selected key actors	2014	3.87			Updated data will be provided by a survey in 2018

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (survey based composite indicator)				-		3.87

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6d**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	33.00	1.00	coop MDD project finalised the first Transboundary Management Programme learning interactions to improve capacities of protected areas management authorities for preservation, restoration and management of the targeted Transboundary Biosphere Reserve Mura-Drava-Danube, key actors took part in 1 study visit to the functioning Elbe Biosphere Reserve in Germany.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	33.00	12.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 3 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator (e.g. DRiDanube project is implementing a training on use of Drought User Service – impacts).
F	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	6.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 2 projects addressing SO 2.3.
S	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	6.00	3.00	Both projects approved under SO 2.3 are developing strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands (e.g. coop MDD project will develop transboundary Mura-Drava-Danube Action Plan (TMDD Action Plan) which will be the key operational framework for carrying out concrete solutions in the planned TBR MDD that will restore, conserve and improve the MDD ecological corridor).
F	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	12.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 2 projects addressing SO 2.3.
S	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	12.00	4.00	Both projects approved under SO 2.3 are developing tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands (e.g. coop MDD project will develop Transboundary Management Programme for River-Dynamic Corridor Development in the Planned TBR MDD as the main strategic and operational framework for cooperation of protected areas in MDD corridor).
F	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	3.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 2 projects addressing SO 2.3.
S	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	3.00	34.00	Both projects approved under SO 2.3 are implementing pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands (e.g. DANUBE parks CONNECTED project is will implement pilot actions to improve habitat quality of WILD islands; to test and demonstrate best practice management, of valuable dry habitat sites; as well as testing different approaches to managing riparian forests to ensure connectivity of habitats along the Danube river).
F	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	5.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved one project addressing SO 2.4.
S	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	5.00	1.00	DRiDanube project is developing a strategy to improve drought emergency response which will address basic weaknesses of the drought management process, identified by the review of existing status.
F	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	10.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved one project addressing SO 2.4.
S	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	10.00	3.00	DRiDanube project is developing/ implementing several tools for improving cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders (e.g. Drought User Service with manual, a methodology for drought impact assessment, methodology for drought risk assessment).
F	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	3.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved one project addressing SO 2.4.
S	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	3.00	2.00	DRiDanube project is implementing a pilot action testing the Drought User Service in 4 countries participating in the project.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	12.00	0.00	0.00

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	3.00	0.00	0.00
F	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	4.00	0.00	0.00
F	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	34.00	0.00	0.00
F	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	1.00	0.00	0.00
F	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	3.00	0.00	0.00
F	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	2.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	2.3 - Foster the restoration and management of ecological corridors (short title). Strengthen effective approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands of transnational relevance to contribute to the better conservation status of ecosystems of European relevance.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6d.2.3**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
2.3	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to foster restoration and management of ecological corridors (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.55	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)			Updated data will be provided by a survey in 2018

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.3	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to foster restoration and management of ecological corridors (survey based composite indicator)				-		3.55

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	2.4 - Improve preparedness for environmental risk management (short title). Establish and develop a more effective governance system for environmental protection addressing emergency situations and improve the preparedness of public authorities and civil protection organisation contributing to the reduction of risks and impact on ecosystem services, biodiversity and human health.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6d.2.4**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
2.4	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve preparedness for environmental risk management (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.65	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)			Updated data will be provided by a survey in 2018

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.4	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve preparedness for environmental risk management (survey based composite indicator)				-		3.65

Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
Investment priority	7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.7c**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	39.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 11 projects addressing SO 3.1.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	39.00	54.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 11 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator.
F	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	13.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 11 projects addressing SO 3.1.
S	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	13.00	44.00	All 11 projects approved are developing strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems (e.g. DANTE project develops a Danube IWT Administration Strategy accompanied by an Action Plan to help relevant authorities and organisations in harmonising the regulations and administrative requirements towards IWT in order to simplify existing procedures and render them more efficient).
F	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	26.00	1.00	The project GREEN DANUBE developed the concept of Inland Waterway Transport Environmental Information Centre which is the support document based on which 4 EICs will be set up within the project. The concept considers existing best practices, highlights the need and opportunity for EICs, establishes the general goal, mission and objectives of the EICs, identifies the target groups and determines the main services an EIC should provide. The establishment of IWT EICs in the Danube countries represents an innovative action aimed at improving the accessibility to targeted information services. EICs will be the advocates of environmental protection and will raise public awareness on the importance of limiting the impact of IWT on the Danube eco-system.
S	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	26.00	91.00	All 11 projects approved are developing tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems (e.g. DANTE project develops a transnational online IWT barrier reporting tool generating an overview of every-day administrative barriers encountered by the shippers in order to develop solutions to overcome them; LinkingDanube develops a journey planner providing users, also from rural areas, access to traveller information services and enabling them to select the most convenient route and sustainable modes of transport).
F	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 11 projects addressing SO 3.1.
S	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	62.00	Out of the 11 approved projects, 10 are implementing pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems (e.g. Transdanube.Pearls implements 9 pilot actions to test three types of new/ improved mobility services (e.g. bike rental services, bike carriage facilities, flexible public transport services) in the Danube region).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	54.00	0.00	0.00
F	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	44.00	0.00	0.00
F	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	91.00	0.00	0.00
F	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	62.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
Investment priority	7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility
Specific objective	3.1 - Support environmentally-friendly and safe transport systems and balanced accessibility of urban and rural areas (short title). Improve planning, coordination and practical solutions for an environmentally-friendly, low-carbon and safer transport network and services in the programme area contributing to a balanced accessibility of urban and rural areas.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 3.7c.3.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
3.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen environmentally-friendly, safe and balanced transport systems (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	4.05	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)			Updated data will be provided by a survey in 2018

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen environmentally-friendly, safe and balanced transport systems (survey based composite indicator)				-		4.05

Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
Investment priority	7e - Improving energy efficiency and security of supply through the development of smart energy distribution, storage and transmission systems and through the integration of distributed generation from renewable sources

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.7e**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	21.00	1.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 3 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator. The project DARLINGe project organised a 2-day workshop on geothermal resource management. The various topics covered by the presentations summarised knowledge gained from previous projects where partners cooperated with other partners within/beyond the consortium, therefore they added value as compared to an isolated learning interaction not taking place in a transnational context. Each presentation was followed by an extensive discussion. Opinions and answers to questions were incorporated into the presentations and the finalized e-learning material is based on these upgraded training materials.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	21.00	14.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 3 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator (e.g. 3Smart project is implementing transnational training activities aimed at capacity building, exchange of experience and harmonising knowledge on energy management system setting up and operation; ENERGY BARGE project is implementing regional B2B meetings on green bioenergy logistics).
F	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 3 projects addressing SO 3.2.
S	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	7.00	All 3 projects approved are developing strategies aimed at improving energy security and energy efficiency (e.g. DARLINGe project develops a Transnational Danube Region Geothermal Strategy aimed at enhancing the use of still untapped geothermal energy resources in the region).
F	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 3 projects addressing SO 3.2.
S	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	7.00	All 3 projects approved are developing tools aimed at improving energy security and energy efficiency (e.g. 3Smart project develops a modular cross-spanning energy management tool to enable energy management within and between buildings and electricity distribution grids for the Danube region)
F	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	4.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 3 projects addressing SO 3.2.
S	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	4.00	9.00	All 3 projects approved are implementing pilot actions aimed at improving energy security and energy efficiency (e.g. ENERGY BARGE project implements 2 pilot actions in 2 Danube ports to test the processing, handling and storage of biomass for energy production in the region).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	14.00	0.00	0.00
F	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	7.00	0.00	0.00
F	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	7.00	0.00	0.00
F	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	9.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]



Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
Investment priority	7e - Improving energy efficiency and security of supply through the development of smart energy distribution, storage and transmission systems and through the integration of distributed generation from renewable sources
Specific objective	3.2 - Improve energy security and energy efficiency (short title). Contribute to the energy security and energy efficiency of the region by supporting the development of joint regional storage and distribution solutions and strategies for increasing energy efficiency and renewable energy usage.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 3.7e.3.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
3.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to contribute to energy security and energy efficiency (survey based composite indicator)	Ordinal scale	3.90	2014	Increasing intensity of cooperation			Updated data will be provided by a survey in 2018

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to contribute to energy security and energy efficiency (survey based composite indicator)				-		3.90

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
Investment priority	11a - Enhancing institutional capacity of public authorities and stakeholders and efficient public administration through actions to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to the implementation of the ERDF, and in support of actions under the ESF to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administration

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 4.11a**

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	21.00	8.00	ATTRACTIVE DANUBE implemented the participatory planning process to establish TAMP (territorial attractiveness monitoring system). YOUNIG implemented two brainstorming and knowledge exchange sessions and two trainings on youth migration indicators aimed to help local governments to better understand the evidence base of youth migration on local level and equip them to identify and measure the change of human/social/financial capital resulting from youth migration leading to better strategy planning. EDU LAB implemented the state of the art: exchange of good practices aimed at exchange experience and good practices regarding the labour market relevance of higher education in the Danube region, stimulating the interplay among diverse decision makers.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	21.00	64.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 8 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator (e.g. YOUNIG project is implementing trainings for local governments on youth migration indicators and their adaptation for strategy planning & implementation on local level. The aim is to support local governments to better understand the evidence base of youth migration in order to identify and measure the change of human/social/financial capital resulting from youth migration leading to better strategy planning).
F	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 8 projects addressing SO 4.1.
S	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	68.00	All 8 projects approved are developing strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance (e.g. Edu Lab project is developing "Danubian Charta for young talents" which will visualise the new Danubian governance model reflecting the common vision of the Danube Region in the labour market relevance of higher education).
F	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	10.00	Learning by Doing project developed nine Local partnerships to support VET reform composed of local/ regional/national stakeholders and also conducted a critical analyses of key challenges through interactive workshops led by external facilitators. Analyses identified challenges, map capacities, hindering/influencing the transformation towards more efficient VET in partner countries.
S	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	53.00	All 8 projects approved are developing tools for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance (e.g. DRIM project is developing "DANUBE COMPASS info tool" which is a transnational information platform for information dissemination in the field of migrants' economic integration (information regarding employment, labour market, work, skills enhancement and other areas of integration).
F	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	4.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 8 projects addressing SO 4.1.
S	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	4.00	22.00	Out of the 8 approved projects, 6 are implementing pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance (e.g. RARE "Cooperation, capacity building, sensitisation pilots" which will strongly support the creation of more inclusive institutional attitude of stakeholders - policy makers, labour offices, educational institutions, NGOs, public services - working for Roma labour market activation through cooperation and capacity building).

(I)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	64.00	0.00	0.00
F	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	68.00	0.00	0.00
F	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	53.00	0.00	0.00
F	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	22.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
Investment priority	11a - Enhancing institutional capacity of public authorities and stakeholders and efficient public administration through actions to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to the implementation of the ERDF, and in support of actions under the ESF to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administration
Specific objective	4.1 - Improve institutional capacities to tackle major societal challenges (short title). Strengthen multilevel- and transnational governance and institutional capacities and provide viable institutional and legal frameworks for more effective, wider and deeper transnational cooperation across the Danube region in areas with major societal challenges.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 4.11a.4.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
4.1	Intensity of cooperation of institutional actors and other stakeholders in the programme area in order to tackle major societal challenges (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	4.14	2014	Increasing intensity of cooperation (qualitative target)			Updated data will be provided by a survey in 2018

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.1	Intensity of cooperation of institutional actors and other stakeholders in the programme area in order to tackle major societal challenges (survey based composite indicator)				-		4.14

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
Investment priority	11c - Developing and coordinating macro-regional and sea-basin strategies (ETC-TN)

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 4.11c**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	Number	12.00	9.00	By the end of 2017 9 out of 12 PAC projects were contracted. Delays in contracting occurred in case of PA1b and PA11 (re-submission of the originally handed in proposal), PA09 (inclusion of ENI provisions into the DTP CP) and PA08 (re-launch of the PAC proposal).
S	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	Number	12.00	9.00	By the end of 2016 9 out of 12 PAC projects were selected.
F	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	50.00	0.00	The first Seed Money Facility call was launched in October 2017. The deadline for submitting the AF was on the 7th December 2017 at 15:00 CET through the DTP monitoring system, the eMS. The DTP received overall 65 proposals, involving 193 institutions.
S	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	50.00	0.00	The first Seed Money Facility call was launched in October 2017. The deadline for submitting the AF was on the 7th December 2017 at 15:00 CET through the DTP monitoring system, the eMS. The DTP received overall 65 proposals, involving 193 institutions.
F	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	Number	1.00	0.00	The financing of the EUSDR Strategy Point is planned to start in 2018. In this respect, and with the support of the EUSDR NCs, the MA/JS started the preparation of the call documents, including the development of the programme intervention logic.
S	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	Number	1.00	0.00	The financing of the EUSDR Strategy Point is planned to start in 2018. In this respect, and with the support of the EUSDR NCs, the MA/JS started the preparation of the call documents, including the development of the programme intervention logic.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	9.00	0.00	0.00
F	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
Investment priority	11c - Developing and coordinating macro-regional and sea-basin strategies (ETC-TN)
Specific objective	4.2 - Support to the governance and implementation of the EUSDR (short title). Improve the governance system and the capabilities and capacities of public institutions and key actors involved in complex transnational project development to implement the EUSDR in a more effective way.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 4.11c.4.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
4.2	The status of management capacities of Priority Area Coordinators (PAC) to effectively implement EUSDR goals, targets and key action (survey composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.59	2014	Improved capacities of PACs (qualitative target)			Updated data will be provided by a survey in 2018

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.2	The status of management capacities of Priority Area Coordinators (PAC) to effectively implement EUSDR goals, targets and key action (survey composite indicator)				-		3.59

## Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
---------------	--------------------------

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 5.Technical Assistance**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2017	Observations
F	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	Number	147.00	74.00	First call of (normal) projects, PAC projects have been launched and altogether with the TA Project Plans 74 projects have been approved by the MC in 2016.
S	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	Number	147.00	147.00	The DTP programme has planned in the CP to have 147 projects committed and successfully closed.
F	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	Number	8.00	4.00	The Danube Transnational Programme co-organised the 6th edition of the Annual Forum of the EU Strategy for the Danube region (Budapest, 18-19/10/2017). The DTP was directly in charge of one plenary session, one panel, four parallel workshops and it contributed to other four workshops as well as to the exhibition area with one specific stand. The DTP also organised in 2017 an event to launch the Seed Money Facility, directly linked to the EUSDR (Vienna, 27/09).
S	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	Number	8.00	8.00	8 major publicity events are planned by the end of the programme according to the CP.
F	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	Number	10.00	8.00	One Lead Applicant seminar for the 2nd call for proposals (Budapest, 9/02) was organised to support applicants in the preparation of the Application Forms. There Lead Partner seminars (one for the PACs and two for the 1st call projects – Budapest 24-25/01 and 27/06) and one Communication Training (Budapest, 26/01) were organised to support the project implementation.
S	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	Number	10.00	10.00	10 compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start are planned throughout the implementation of the DTP programme according to the CP.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2016	2015	2014
F	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	74.00	0.00	0.00
S	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	147.00	147.00	0.00
F	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	2.00	1.00	0.00
S	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	8.00	8.00	0.00
F	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	3.00	1.00	0.00
S	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	10.00	10.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
Specific objective	5.1 - Ensure the efficient and smooth implementation of the Danube Transnational Programme.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 5.5.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	Observations
-	Not applicable since the contribution of ERDF funds for TA does not exceed EUR 15,000,000 (acc. to Commission Implementing Regulation 288/2014, Annex II)	-						

ID	Indicator	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
-	Not applicable since the contribution of ERDF funds for TA does not exceed EUR 15,000,000 (acc. to Commission Implementing Regulation 288/2014, Annex II)						



### 3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone 2018 total	for	Final target (2023) total	2017	Observations
1	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	2,063,929		72,826,617.00	820,239.37	
1	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	17		81.00	427.00	12 Learning interactions have already been delivered by the approved projects in the 1st reporting period.
1	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0		81.00	0.00	
2	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	2,358,777		83,230,421.00	1,243,602.49	
2	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	20		90.00	144.00	9 Learning interactions have already been delivered by the approved projects in the 1st reporting period.
2	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0		90.00	0.00	
3	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	1,547,947		54,619,964.00	706,566.51	
3	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	13		60.00	68.00	1 Learning interactions have already been delivered by the approved projects in the 1st reporting period.
3	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0		60.00	0.00	
4	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	958,253		33,812,359.00	421,398.18	
4	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	5		21.00	64.00	8 Learning interactions have already been delivered by the approved projects in the 1st reporting period.
4	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0		21.00	0.00	
4	O	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	0		50.00	0.00	

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2016	2015	2014
1	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	427.00	0.00	0.00
1	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	144.00	0.00	0.00
2	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	68.00	0.00	0.00
3	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2016	2015	2014
4	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	64.00	0.00	0.00
4	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	O	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	0.00	0.00	0.00

### 3.4. Financial data

**Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level**

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal

Priority axis	Fund	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	Total	66,572,604.00	85.00	30,024,853.27	45.10%	29,270,794.40	731,482.98	1.10%	17
1	IPA(e)	Total	6,254,013.00	85.00	3,466,607.22	55.43%	3,266,493.36	88,756.39	1.42%	16
2	ERDF	Total	76,082,977.00	85.00	31,602,624.53	41.54%	31,126,618.49	1,450,219.52	1.91%	15
2	IPA(e)	Total	7,147,444.00	85.00	3,124,037.48	43.71%	3,007,306.28	92,144.76	1.29%	14
3	ERDF	Total	49,929,453.00	85.00	31,005,998.38	62.10%	30,175,946.76	915,720.54	1.83%	14
3	IPA(e)	Total	4,690,511.00	85.00	2,824,036.30	60.21%	2,686,535.62	50,155.77	1.07%	11
4	ERDF	Total	30,908,710.00	85.00	17,660,958.79	57.14%	17,009,563.59	814,040.51	2.63%	19
4	IPA(e)	Total	2,903,649.00	85.00	2,538,577.97	87.43%	2,365,286.02	51,473.26	1.77%	10
5	ERDF	Total	16,167,632.00	75.00	16,059,251.00	99.33%	16,059,251.00	2,152,034.16	13.31%	11
5	IPA(e)	Total	2,332,846.00	85.00	1,289,000.00	55.25%	1,289,000.00	97,815.97	4.19%	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>ERDF</b>		<b>239,661,376.00</b>	<b>84.33</b>	<b>126,353,685.97</b>	<b>52.72%</b>	<b>123,642,174.24</b>	<b>6,063,497.71</b>	<b>2.53%</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>IPA(e)</b>		<b>23,328,463.00</b>	<b>85.00</b>	<b>13,242,258.97</b>	<b>56.76%</b>	<b>12,614,621.28</b>	<b>380,346.15</b>	<b>1.63%</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Grand total</b>			<b>262,989,839.00</b>	<b>84.39</b>	<b>139,595,944.94</b>	<b>53.08%</b>	<b>136,256,795.52</b>	<b>6,443,843.86</b>	<b>2.45%</b>	<b>130</b>

**Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)**

In accordance with the Preamble (37) of the ETC Regulation, third countries are allowed to participate through contribution of IPA and ENI resources in transnational cooperation programmes in order to strengthen the Union's economic, social and territorial cohesion and to reinforce effectiveness of its cohesion policy. In order to ensure effective participation of non-EU member countries in the DTP, managed in accordance with the shared management principle, programme implementation conditions governing the financial management as well as programming, monitoring, evaluation and control of the participation of third countries as set out in the present CP as well as in the financing agreements shall be respected by all participating countries in line with Article 26 of the ETC Regulation.

The integration of the IPA in the DTP is well defined by the CP and already part of the programme documents. However, the signature of the Financing Agreements (FA) for each IPA countries is still a pre-condition of payments to IPA beneficiaries. The EC has prepared the Financing Agreement template, in cooperation with the IPA countries and the MA/JS. In line with the DTP Work plan for 2017, all three IPA FA have been signed (Serbia on the 3rd March 2017, Montenegro on the 3rd March 2017, and Bosnia and Herzegovina on the 10th July 2017).

The ENI countries provided information on the assignment of Ukrainian and Moldovan institutions responsible for control and audit tasks to be included in the modified Cooperation Programme, before a decision was taken by the European Commission. The MA/JS also provided during the year the relevant programme documents (Control Guidelines, TA Manual) to the ENI countries for information purposes. After the EC approval, the MA/JS organised a meeting with the Moldovan colleagues in May in order to discuss the functions of the different national bodies in Moldova in DTP and the ENI TA budget. The Moldovan authorities filled in the relevant documents (Description of the national control system, DTP designation questionnaire) requested by the MA/JS. The representatives of Moldova took part on the 3rd meeting of the Working Group of Controllers held in Budapest in June. The decision on the ENI TA allocation for Moldova has been postponed to January 2018, where clarifications will be provided. Concerning Ukraine, the signature of the Financing Agreement (FA) by the end of 2017 was the main goal. In October, a trilateral videoconference was held among the European Commission, the Ukrainian relevant bodies and the MA/JS in order to discuss the questions raised in this respect. In December 2017, the EC, Ukraine and the Managing Authority signed the Financing Agreement.

**Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention**

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	5,970,922.38	5,785,811.31	49,991.68	11
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	7,106,537.07	6,926,843.55	244,173.88	11
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	3,733,684.61	3,577,803.87	147,615.55	6
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	4,008,888.12	3,849,586.30	97,792.61	6
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	5,978,106.64	5,986,806.66	171,912.58	9
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	88,643.26	82,550.30	9,998.34	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	3,138,071.19	3,061,392.41	9,998.34	5
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	HU101	692,543.05	670,983.43	7,158.91	10
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	HU101	795,997.42	748,825.12	30,930.50	10
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	HU101	294,179.24	266,472.76	14,438.60	5
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	HU101	360,235.17	317,308.26	14,454.91	6
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	HU101	865,299.10	836,467.14	18,909.91	8
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	HU101	17,772.71	17,006.61	1,431.78	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	HU101	440,580.53	409,430.04	1,431.78	5
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	6,379,264.62	6,319,963.96	29,384.35	5
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	3,862,073.00	3,785,622.58	269,381.52	5
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	2,160,981.32	2,096,602.05	178,390.45	3
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	1,824,536.23	1,782,483.56	91,539.93	4
2	ERDF	088	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	1,179,088.89	1,147,174.89	161,888.33	2
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	5,120,382.27	5,051,316.73	326,791.66	4
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	6,984,412.56	6,909,035.02	201,693.40	7
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	4,091,885.64	4,034,419.70	191,149.88	6
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	HU101	557,931.57	557,931.57	2,591.50	5
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	HU101	361,506.30	347,404.11	8,107.59	4
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	HU101	294,374.53	280,272.34	4,891.48	3
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	HU101	227,426.84	227,426.84	9,447.11	4
2	IPA(e)	088	01	07	07			24	HU101	183,690.19	183,690.19	17,368.74	2
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	HU101	674,854.50	602,827.68	12,175.12	4
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	HU101	550,071.70	541,821.70	20,714.55	6
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	HU101	274,181.85	265,931.85	16,848.67	5
3	ERDF	012	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	4,220,284.35	4,119,993.79	0.00	2
3	ERDF	015	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	3,158,689.20	3,002,846.55	0.00	1
3	ERDF	035	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	4,097,009.63	3,964,772.90	35,617.11	5
3	ERDF	036	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	4,335,866.49	4,328,918.84	0.00	4
3	ERDF	039	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	433,663.38	425,553.76	14,737.60	2
3	ERDF	040	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	194,394.43	193,097.79	0.00	1
3	ERDF	041	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	8,467,917.47	8,191,839.48	686,320.53	6
3	ERDF	042	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	1,398,026.25	1,361,549.90	82,064.44	5
3	ERDF	043	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	2,597,195.99	2,532,675.41	0.00	3

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	2,102,951.19	2,054,698.34	96,980.86	4
3	IPA(e)	012	01	07	07			24	HU101	628,996.00	628,996.00	0.00	1
3	IPA(e)	015	01	07	07			24	HU101	632,654.21	632,654.21	0.00	1
3	IPA(e)	035	01	07	07			24	HU101	191,557.14	168,099.93	468.60	4
3	IPA(e)	036	01	07	07			24	HU101	314,822.33	274,634.12	0.00	3
3	IPA(e)	039	01	07	07			24	HU101	42,374.33	41,294.54	0.00	2
3	IPA(e)	040	01	07	07			24	HU101	23,450.50	23,450.50	0.00	1
3	IPA(e)	041	01	07	07			24	HU101	649,228.92	601,973.08	39,614.30	6
3	IPA(e)	042	01	07	07			24	HU101	117,578.33	111,764.88	3,329.81	5
3	IPA(e)	043	01	07	07			24	HU101	142,518.49	133,021.14	0.00	2
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07			24	HU101	80,856.05	70,647.22	6,743.06	3
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU101	11,360,179.34	11,026,996.46	460,800.97	19
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	HU101	6,300,779.45	5,982,567.13	353,239.54	8
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	HU101	1,482,576.01	1,390,297.84	28,548.25	10
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	HU101	1,056,001.96	974,988.18	22,925.01	8
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	HU101	12,529,593.25	12,529,593.25	1,876,223.84	3
5	ERDF	122	01	07	07			24	HU101	556,277.15	556,277.15	92,203.00	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	HU101	2,973,380.60	2,973,380.60	183,607.32	9
5	IPA(e)	121	01	07	07			24	HU101	1,289,000.00	1,289,000.00	97,815.97	3
5	IPA(e)	122	01	07	07			24	HU101	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
5	IPA(e)	123	01	07	07			24	HU101	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

**Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area**

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
------------------	---	---	--	---

(1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

#### 4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

The tender for the operational evaluation was launched in July 2017 with a deadline for submission of the tenders set for the end of August 2017. The contract has been signed in November 2017.

The evaluation aims to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of the programme management and implementation in terms of: project generation, assessment and selection; project implementation, procedures and programme monitoring; programme promotion and communication; programme governance (in terms of perception of beneficiaries, coordination and communication aspects among and with relevant institutions, including EUSDR), progress in relation to performance framework. Special attention was dedicated to: programme electronic monitoring system; external funding (integration of IPA and ENI funds at programme level) and cooperation with EUSDR (in terms of coordination and governance, procedures, synergies, etc.). The draft Inception Report has been submitted the end of December 2017. The 1st draft report is to be ready within three months and expected to be discussed at the MC meeting in March 2018.



## 5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

### **(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken**

In 2017 the programme put considerable efforts in obtaining the designation in order to be able to perform the payments for the 1st reports submitted by 1st call projects. In this direction, the eMS system developed by INTERACT, was set up and adapted for the DTP programme in less than three months in order to be operable for application/ reporting/ validation etc.. Consequently, by the 6th November, 783 Partner Reports (PR) have been submitted to the First Level Control (FLC) through the eMS, receiving a FLC Certificate. All 54 projects submitted their first PPR for a total certified amount of **9,089,539.36 EUR of ERDF** and **574,111.10 EUR of IPA** (higher amounts of ERDF and IPA have been reported to the FLC, but validation was still ongoing for some costs/reports). The submitted PPRs are still undergoing a careful check by the MA/JS, both from the activity and financial point of view. The outcome of the PPR check has brought an approval of 19 PPRs by the MA/JS with the subsequent initiation of the payment procedure for an overall amount of 3.32 million EUR of ERDF and 240 thousand EUR of IPA by the 15th December 2017; or a request of further clarifications/ modifications of the activity part or of the FLC Certificate or Application for Reimbursement (AfR) for the remaining 35 PPR. In this last case, the payment procedure will start only after the PPR has been revised according to the MA/JS findings from January 2018.

The SMF Application Package has been finalised during the summer 2017, together with the parameterisation of the SMF application in the eMS. As it was the first time for the DTP to use the eMS in an open call, considerable efforts were made to prepare the eMS for the SMF application.

**(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.**

In 2017 important steps have been made towards achieving the objectives of the programme both content and also administrative wise. In terms of achieving the objectives, the project approved in the 1st Call for proposals started their implementation at full speed, many of them submitting finalised outputs already from the 1st progress Report. The milestones defined in the performance framework, from the outputs point of view, have already been reached by approving the 1st call projects. Some of the outputs of the programme were clearly over-reached by approving the 1st call projects (especially the common output indicators CO04 and CO26), which is due to the fact that the estimation done in the beginning of the programme was very cautious. Nevertheless the programme set in place mechanisms and trained the partners in order to avoid double counting which is usually one of the main causes for overestimating targets. Furthermore the programme will carefully monitor the reaching of the targets and, if necessary, it will propose their revision.

Based on a Gap Analysis of the 1st Call topics developed by the MA/ JS, the MC decided to target the second call for proposal to certain topics for Specific Objectives 1.1, 2.1 and 3.1 (SO.1.1 restricted to commercialisation of research results and technology transfer; cluster policies and transnational cluster cooperation based on smart specialisation approaches; management of intellectual property rights for supporting innovation , SO 2.1 restricted to flood prevention (monitoring / early warning systems; preventive solutions) and/or water quality management (e.g. harmonised monitoring and assessment systems and solutions, measures to reduce nutrient and hazardous substance pollution, more efficient wastewater treatment solutions) and SO 3.1 restricted to multi/ inter-modality (especially inclusive but not limited to rail and air transport), transport safety (inclusive of ITS, if applicable), IWT fleet modernisation, connectivity of rural areas and improvement of public transport). A particularity can be observed in case of Specific Objective 4.1 which exhausted the entire allocation in the 1st call although themes as migration, demographic changes (ageing, brain drain etc.) remain as main governance related specific challenges of the Danube Region. After the second call projects are approved, the MA/JS will conduct a new gap analysis in order to understand which topics still needs to have a more targeted approach.

## **6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)**

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

**7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS  
(ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

**8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**8.1. Major projects**

**Table 7: Major projects**

Project	CCI	Status of MP	Total investments	Total eligible costs	Planned notification/submission date	Date of tacit agreement/ approval by Commission	Planned start of implementation	Planned completion date	Priority Axis/ Investment priorities	Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	Current state of realisation — physical progress Main implementation stage of the project	Main outputs	Date of signature of first works contract (1)	Observations
---------	-----	--------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---	--------------	---	--------------

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

**Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them**

--

**Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme**

--

## 8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

--

**Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)**

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations
------------------	-----	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------

**Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them**

--



**9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Priority axis	1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
---------------	---------------------------------

--

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
---------------	--------------------------

--

**9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

--

**9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

--

#### 9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)</b>	<b>Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)</b>
1	3,407,554.88	5.50%
2	5,767,644.19	8.15%
3	14,305,315.94	30.81%
<b>Total</b>	<b>23,480,515.02</b>	<b>10.58%</b>

--

**9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

--

**10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013**

**10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations**

--

**10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy**

--

**11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme**

--



**11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF**

--

### 11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

The Danube Transnational Programme offers support for the EUSDR implementation, by financing projects directly contributing to the EUSDR targets and actions (as per assessment criteria defined jointly by the Programme and the Strategy: e.g. Made in Danube, Danube Sediment, JOINTISZA, CultPlatForm\_21, DANTE, ENERGY BARGE, DRIM) and their preparation (Seed Money Facility). This was strengthened by actively involving the PACs in the normal DTP projects either as financing partners, or as ASPs or leading the capitalisation poles of the programme.

In addition, as mentioned above, in this period the Programme provides direct support to the coordination activities of macro-regional cooperation:

- Support to Priority Areas Coordinators aimed at increasing the effectiveness of coordination and strategy implementation in each of the Priority Areas of the EUSDR
- Seed Money Facility providing support for preparation of complex strategic transnational projects contributing to the EUSDR, to be further financed by different funding sources existing in the region
- Establishment and support of the EUSDR Strategy Point aimed at facilitating the information flow between EUSDR actors, as well as strengthening the capacity of the PAC in implementing and communicating the Strategy.

By the end of 2017 both the support for the PACs and the Seed Money Facility were already launched, and 9 PAC Subsidy Contracts were already signed. Even if for the other 3 PACs the Subsidy Contracts were still pending, the programme will consider eligible also their expenditure starting with 2017, therefore the work on these priority areas is carried on.

- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

**The pillar(s) and priority area(s) that the programme is relevant to:**

	<b>Pillar</b>	<b>Priority area</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.1 - Mobility - waterways
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.2 - Mobility - rail, road & air
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.3 - Energy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.4 - Culture and tourism
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.1 - Water quality
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.2 - Environmental risks
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.3 - Biodiversity, landscapes, air and soil quality
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.1 - Knowledge society
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.2 - Competitiveness
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.3 - People & skills
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Strengthening the Danube region	4.1 - Institutional capacity & cooperation
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Strengthening the Danube region	4.2 - Security

**Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSDR**

**A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Priority Area Coordinators or Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?**

Yes  No

**Name and function**

10 MC members are also EUSDR NC: Denitsa Nikolova (BG), Ivan Glisevic Durovic (ME), Zeljka Baric (HR); Roland Arbter (AT); Gabor Jenei (HU), Radu Gorincioi (RO ); Michal Blasko (SK ); Olena Kucherenko (UA); Oxana Paierale (MD); Ivana Davidovic (RS)

**B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSDR?**

Yes  No

**a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSDR**

Yes  No

**b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number)**

65

**c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence)**

The assessment grid contains 2 questions where the contribution of each project submitted in the framework of the DTP is being assessed and, depending on the quality of information provided one a higher or lower score can be awarded.

**d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)**

No

**C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSDR?**

Yes  No

**Approximate or exact amount in Euro invested in the EUSDR:**

ERDF	202,095,405.00
CF	
ESF	
EAFRD	
EMFF	
ENI	10,000,000.00
IPA	19,829,192.00
any other funds	
name of "any other funds"	

**D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSDR (n.a. for 2016)**

The programme successfully supports the EUSDR PACs which started the implementation of their projects in January 2017. The SMF call was launched in 2017 in a form of a targeted call addressing specific strategic topics identified by the PACs. Furthermore the preparation of the DSP call started in 2017 by involving EUSDR NCs, DTP MC and DTP MA/JS.

**E. Does the programme contribute to the targets as validated by the national coordinators and priority area coordinators in 2016 (uploaded on the EUSDR website)? (Please specify the target(s))**

in case of DTP the projects are contributing to the EUSDR targets and each project is requested to describe already in the application phase to which targets they are contributing to and how (e.g. Danube Sediment contribute to the next Danube River Basin Management Plan (2021)).

#### **11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation**

--

### 13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

--

**14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

--



## Documents

Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
Citizens' summary	Citizens' summary	30-May-2018			Citizens' summary		

## Latest validation results

Severity	Code	Message
Info		Implementation report version has been validated
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,133.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6d, indicator: P16, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,133.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6d, indicator: P16, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,585.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P03, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 1,585.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P03, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 113.56% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO26, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 113.56% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO26, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 138.46% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 7c, indicator: P07, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 138.46% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 7c, indicator: P07, year: 2017. Please check.

<b>Severity</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Message</b>
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 166.67% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6b, indicator: P10, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 166.67% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6b, indicator: P10, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 171.43% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P06, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 171.43% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P06, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 192.22% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO04, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 192.22% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: CO04, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 210.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6b, indicator: P09, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 210.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6b, indicator: P09, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 225.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 7e, indicator: P25, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 225.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 7e, indicator: P25,

<b>Severity</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Message</b>
		year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 235.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P01, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 235.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P01, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 235.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: P11, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 235.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: P11, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 285.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: P07, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 285.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: P07, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 304.76% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, indicator: P07, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 304.76% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, indicator: P07, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 338.46% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 7c, indicator: P20, year: 2016. Please check.

<b>Severity</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Message</b>
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 338.46% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 7c, indicator: P20, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 350.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 7c, indicator: P21, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 350.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 7c, indicator: P21, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 357.14% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P02, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 357.14% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P02, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 364.29% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: P12, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 364.29% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: P12, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 378.57% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, indicator: P27, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 378.57% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, indicator: P27, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 392.31% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P05,

<b>Severity</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Message</b>
		year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 392.31% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P05, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 550.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, indicator: P28, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 550.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, indicator: P28, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 557.14% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: P13, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 557.14% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 2, investment priority: 6c, indicator: P13, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 660.49% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P07, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 660.49% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 1, investment priority: 1b, indicator: P07, year: 2017. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 885.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 7c, indicator: P22, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 885.71% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 3, investment priority: 7c, indicator: P22, year: 2017. Please check.

Severity	Code	Message
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 971.43% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, indicator: P26, year: 2016. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 971.43% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: 4, investment priority: 11a, indicator: P26, year: 2017. Please check.